

**Interview Summary**

<b>Application No.</b> 09/114,352	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TERAKADO ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b> Andrew Koenig	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Andrew Faile. (3) Ron Rudder.  
(2) Andrew Koenig. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2003.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**ANDREW FAILE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed the following additional claim language to the independent claims: in claim 1, after "transmitting end" in line 2, adding --by a first broadcaster among a plurality of broadcasters each having a program tag--. Also, adding to "alteration means" the following claim language--such that the display format is altered to show preference to the provider tag of said first broadcaster to other provider tags of said plurality of broadcasters--. results to follow in a formal amendment.